

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/873,866	HECHINGER, MARK K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Cheu	1641	

All Participants:

 (1) Jacob Cheu.

 (2) Mr. Abrahams.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Surfactancy reduction, how and what concentration of surfactant to enable the invention. Applicant also indicates that a commercial success evidence may submit in the future to bolster the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)